of the unsaturated rings. The figure shows the ability of ethylenediamines to mimic the shape of the rigid molecule. In particular the distances $d_{\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CG}}$, between the N atom and the centres of gravity (CG) of the unsaturated rings in cyproheptadine are 6.29 and 6.24 $\AA$, while the corresponding values are $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CG}-$ $($ thenyl $)=6.8 \quad$ and $\quad \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CG}($ pyridyl $)=5.56 \quad \AA \quad$ in histadyl and $N(3)-C G(P h 1)=6 \cdot 18$ and $N(3)-$ $\mathrm{CG}(\mathrm{Ph} 2)=5.90 \AA$ in antazoline. Thus an $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CG}$ distance of $6 \cdot 0-6 \cdot 5 \AA$ is reproduced by the (ring)-$\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\stackrel{\mathrm{N}}{\mathrm{N}}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\stackrel{+}{\mathrm{N}}-\mathrm{or}$ (ring) $-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\stackrel{+}{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{H}-\stackrel{+}{\mathrm{N}}-\mathrm{C}$ arrangements and probably by both if small conformational changes are allowed. This result seems to be of some interest as it has been shown that $d_{\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CG}}$ values of $6 \cdot 00-6.40 \AA$ can be reproduced by other antihistamines having four-membered propylamino (ring)$\stackrel{1}{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\stackrel{+}{\mathrm{N}}-=$ or propenylamino (ring)-$\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{N}^{+}-$chains (James \& Williams, $1974 a$, b) and also by the five-membered aminoethyl chain (ring) $-\stackrel{\perp}{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\stackrel{+-}{\mathrm{N}}-\frac{-}{-}$ present in carbinoxamine (Bertolasi et al., 1980b), the correct $d_{\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CG}}$ distance being obtained through a zig-zag planar conformation in the former and a helical one in the latter.

In conclusion, all the data so far collected seem to substantiate the idea that a distance of $6 \cdot 00-6.40 \AA$ between the amino N and the centre of gravity of an unsaturated ring is the primary stereochemical requirement a molecule must fulfill for showing strong antihistaminic activity, all other factors known to affect the biological response ( $\mathrm{p} K_{a}$ values, overall lipophilicity
or presence of a second unsaturated ring) being considered mere modulators of the activity itself.
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#### Abstract

C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{P}^{+} .2 \mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{4}{ }^{1 / 2-}, \quad\) (DMDBP) (TCNQ) $)_{2}, M_{r}=621 \cdot 6$, monoclinic, space group $P 2_{1} / m, a=7.547$ (4), $b=30.19$ (2), $c=7.863$ (5) $\AA$,, $\beta=115.86(7)^{\circ}, \quad U=1612.1 \AA^{3}, \quad Z=2, \quad D_{m}=1.30$, $D_{c}=1.28 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}, \quad F(000)=642, \quad \mu($ Мо $K \alpha, \quad \lambda=$ $0.71069 \AA)=0.09 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$. The structure was solved by direct methods and refined to $R=0.095$ for 1081 observed reflexions. The radical anions stack plane-to-plane in columns parallel to c, each column


consisting of a series of TCNQ dimers. The columns are arranged in sheets parallel to the $a c$ plane, with successive sheets being interleaved along $\mathbf{b}$ by the DMDBP cations.

Introduction. The crystal structure of (DMDBP) (TCNQ) ${ }_{2}$ has been determined as part of a series of studies on conducting TCNQ salts (Ashwell, 1978, 1981, 1982). A common feature of these materials is a
characteristic plane-to-plane stacking of the radical anions in columns which gives rise to anisotropic properties. The structural criteria for metallic behaviour are (i) a uniform spacing and (ii) an exocyclic bond to quinonoid ring overlap of adjacent molecules along the conducting chains. Non-uniformity of spacing results in semiconducting behaviour. (DMDBP) (TCNQ) ${ }_{2}$ is a small-band-gap semiconductor. The electrical conductivity along c, the direction of stacking, varies as $\sigma=\sigma_{o} \exp \left(-E_{a} / k T\right)$ where $\sigma_{300 \mathrm{~K}}=0.3 \mathrm{~S} \mathrm{~m}^{-1}$ and $E_{a}=0.20 \mathrm{eV}\left(1 \mathrm{eV}=1.60 \times 10^{-19} \mathrm{~J}\right)$.

Black crystals of (DMDBP) (TCNQ) ${ }_{2}$ were obtained when a hot acetonitrile solution ( $200 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) of 5 ,-5-dimethyldibenzophospholium iodide ( 0.2 g ) and 7,7,8,8 -tetracyano-p-quinodimethane ( 0.2 g ) was allowed to cool slowly to ambient temperature. The space group and unit-cell parameters were obtained from oscillation and Weissenberg photographs. Intensities were collected in the range $1^{\circ}>\theta>28.5^{\circ}$ from a crystal $c a$ $0.36 \times 0.07 \times 0.48 \mathrm{~mm}$, mounted with its $a$ axis coincident with the $\omega$ axis of a Stoe STADI-2 two-circle diffractometer using Mo $K \alpha$ radiation and the background- $\omega$-scan-background technique. The crystal diffracted weakly and of the 3061 unique reflexions measured only 1081 had significant counts [ $I \geq 2 \sigma(I)$ ]. The intensities were corrected for Lorentz and polarization factors but not for absorption.

The systematic absences, $0 k 0, k=2 n+1$, do not distinguish between the space groups $P 2_{1}$ and $P 2_{1} / m$. A trial structure was initially attempted in the noncentrosymmetric space group $P 2_{1}$. Multisolution direct

Table 1. Final fractional positional parameters and equivalent isotropic temperature factors $\left(\times 10^{4}\right)$ with e.s.d.'s in parentheses

The $U_{\text {eq }}$ values were calculated by reference to Willis \& Pryor (1975).

|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $U_{\text {eq }}\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $3715(20)$ | $5917(4)$ | $9465(15)$ | $401(57)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $2247(20)$ | $5615(4)$ | $8335(16)$ | $371(56)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $2734(20)$ | $5257(4)$ | $7556(16)$ | $395(61)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $4763(19)$ | $5167(3)$ | $7935(15)$ | $276(47)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $6217(19)$ | $5470(4)$ | $9076(16)$ | $328(51)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $5744(19)$ | $5831(4)$ | $9808(16)$ | $390(56)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $3231(21)$ | $6298(4)$ | $10169(16)$ | $419(58)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $1221(30)$ | $6396(5)$ | $9648(22)$ | $585(79)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $4641(24)$ | $6616(4)$ | $11258(20)$ | $461(70)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $5251(21)$ | $4792(4)$ | $7156(18)$ | $393(62)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $3811(24)$ | $4484(4)$ | $5990(18)$ | $411(62)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $7234(21)$ | $4717(4)$ | $7406(16)$ | $368(60)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $-348(24)$ | $6516(5)$ | $9314(19)$ | $725(78)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $5782(23)$ | $6874(4)$ | $12146(19)$ | $649(73)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(3)$ | $2635(20)$ | $4242(4)$ | $5007(18)$ | $599(61)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(4)$ | $8820(24)$ | $4637(4)$ | $7661(19)$ | $635(70)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $5582(19)$ | $2055(3)$ | $3974(14)$ | $304(44)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $7429(19)$ | $2251(3)$ | $4950(16)$ | $347(47)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $9087(22)$ | $1979(4)$ | $5821(19)$ | $476(66)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $8708(21)$ | $1529(4)$ | $5685(16)$ | $489(66)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(17)$ | $6855(22)$ | $1341(4)$ | $4737(19)$ | $492(61)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(18)$ | $5224(23)$ | $1619(4)$ | $3906(17)$ | $505(62)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(19)$ | $1930(29)$ | 2500 | $3852(23)$ | $608(98)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(20)$ | $2606(29)$ | 2500 | $509(19)$ | $418(80)$ |
| P | $3806(6)$ | 2500 | $3027(5)$ | $252(8)$ |

Table 2. Details of molecular planes
Asterisks denote atoms not defining the plane.
(a) TCNQ

Equation of plane
$0.2465 X+0.5248 Y+0.8147 Z-3.8281=0$
Distances from the plane ( $\AA$ )

|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $-0.02(1)$ | $\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $0.08(1)^{*}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $-0.02(1)$ | $\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $0.01(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $0.02(1)$ | $\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $0.03(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $0.0(1)$ | $\mathrm{C}(12)$ | 0.10()$^{*}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $-0.01(1)$ | $\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $0.27(1)^{*}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $-0.01(1)$ | $\mathrm{N}(2)$ | 0.11()$^{*}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $0.3(1)$ | $\mathrm{N}(3)$ | 0.07()$^{*}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $0.16(2)^{*}$ | $\mathrm{~N}(4)$ | $0.10(1)^{*}$ |

## (b) Cation

Equations of planes
(i) $0.5461 X+0.0000 Y-0.8377 Z+0.7990=0$
(ii) $0.5336 X-0.0325 Y-0.8451 Z+1.0819=0$

Distances from the planes $(\AA)$

| Plane (i) $\dagger$ | Plane (ii) |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)$ | 0.00 | $\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $0.03(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)$ | 0.00 | $\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $-0.01(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $0.00(2)^{*}$ | $\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $0.00(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $-0.05(1)^{*}$ | $\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $0.00(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(17)$ | $-0.07(2)^{*}$ | $\mathrm{C}(17)$ | $0.01(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(18)$ | $-0.10(1)^{*}$ | $\mathrm{C}(18)$ | $-0.02(1)$ |
| P | $0.007(4)^{*}$ | P | $0.006(4)^{*}$ |

$\dagger$ Including symmetrically related atoms $\mathrm{C}\left(13^{\prime}\right)$ and $\mathrm{C}\left(14^{\prime}\right)$.
methods using SHELX (Sheldrick, 1976) enabled the positions of all non-H atoms to be readily located. The two independent TCNQ molecules in the asymmetric unit were so closely related by a mirror plane passing through the cation that analysis was continued in the centrosymmetric space group $P 2_{1} / m$. Coordinates for the H atoms were calculated $(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}=1.08 \AA)$. Common isotropic temperature factors were applied to the methyl and ring H atoms and refined to final values of $U=0.062(19)$ and $0.066(17) \AA^{2}$ respectively. Scattering factors were taken from International Tables for $X$-ray Crystallography (1974) and the weighting scheme was $w=5.3159 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{o}\right)+0.0010\left(F_{o}\right)^{2}\right]$. Fullmatrix least-squares refinement with anisotropic temperature factors for the non- H atoms gave the final $R=0.095$. The final difference map showed no peaks greater than $0.44 \mathrm{e} \AA^{-3}$.

Significant errors in the atomic coordinates, bond lengths and angles led to a further attempt at refinement in $P 2_{1}$. However, the final $R$ value showed no improvement $(=0.095)$ and in the light of high correlation between the refined parameters, unrealistic temperature factors and unsatisfactory TCNQ geometries we believe $P 2_{1} / m$ to be the more appropriate space group. The final atomic coordinates are listed in Table 1 and the results of least-squares-planes' calculations are in Table 2.*

[^0]Discussion. The crystal structure of (DMDBP) (TCNQ) ${ }_{2}$, projected along $\mathbf{a}^{*}$ and $\mathbf{b}$, is shown in Figs. 1 and 2 respectively. The TCNQ molecules are stacked plane-to-plane in columns parallel to $\mathbf{c}$, each column consisting of a series of TCNQ dimers. While the overlap between molecules within the dimeric unit is of the ring-external-bond type there is little direct overlap between adjacent dimers (Fig. 3). The mean perpendicular intra-dimer spacing is $3.17 \AA$. Adjacent TCNQ columns form sheets parallel to the $a c$ plane, successive sheets being separated along $\mathbf{b}$ by the DMDBP cations.

The dimensions of the cation (Fig. 4) closely resemble those found in 5-(p-bromobenzyl)-5phenyldibenzophospholium bromide (Allen, Nowell, Oades \& Walker, 1978). The P atom lies $0.007 \AA$ out of the $C(13), C(14), C\left(14^{\prime}\right), C\left(13^{\prime}\right)$ plane. The sixmembered rings are inclined at an angle of $2.0(2)^{\circ}$ to this plane and $3.7(5)^{\circ}$ to each other. The $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}$ exocyclic bond angles are in the range 107.6 to $114.2^{\circ}$, while the endocyclic angle is 95.6 (4) ${ }^{\circ}$ and may be compared with values of $93.4^{\circ}$ in 5 -hydroxy- 5 H -dibenzophosphole 5 -oxide (de Boer \& Bright, 1974)


Fig. 1. Projection of the structure along $\mathbf{a}^{*}$.


Fig. 2. Projection of the structure along $\mathbf{b}$, showing the arrangement of dimers within the TCNQ sheet. For clarity the cation has been omitted.



Fig. 3. Molecular overlaps within the TCNQ sheets; (a) the intra-dimer overlap and (b) the inter-dimer overlap.


Fig. 4. Bond lengths $(\AA)$ and angles $\left(^{\circ}\right)$ in DMDBP with e.s.d.'s in parentheses.


Fig. 5. Bond lengths $(\AA)$ and angles $\left(^{\circ}\right)$ in TCNQ. E.s.d.'s are $0.02 \AA$ and $1^{\circ}$ respectively.
and $93.9^{\circ}$ in 5-(p-bromobenzyl)-5-phenyldibenzophospholium bromide. The small endocyclic angle implies strain within the dibenzophospholium system.

The radical anion (Fig. 5) shows no abnormal dimensions and is in agreement with the geometry of TCNQ ${ }^{1 / 2-}$ (Ashwell, Eley, Wallwork \& Willis, 1975). However, in view of the large e.s.d.'s an estimation of the negative charge on the radical anion is not possible. In this structure the TCNQ moiety is not planar but adopts a slight boat form.
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# Structure of 8,2'-Anhydro-8-mercapto-9- $\beta$-D-arabinofuranosylhypoxanthylyl(3'-5')-8,2'-anhydro-8-mercapto-9- $\beta$-D-arabinofuranosyladenine ( $\mathbf{I}^{s} p A^{s}$ ) Hexahydrate* $\dagger$ 
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#### Abstract

C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{9} \mathrm{PS}_{2} .6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\), triclinic, $P 1, M_{r}=$ 733.6, $\quad a=14.324$ (5), $\quad b=11 \cdot 130$ (3),$\quad c=$ 5.794 (1) $\AA, \quad \alpha=97.40$ (3),$\quad \beta=87.42$ (3),$\quad \gamma=$ $120.05(4)^{\circ}, \quad Z=1, \quad D_{m}=1.630(1), \quad D_{x}=$ $1.536 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$. The final $R$ value is 0.066 for 2697 observed reflections. The molecular conformation is a folded form, with $\left(g^{+}, t\right)$ torsion angles around P-O bonds, which is stabilized by hydrophobic interactions between sugar and base moieties and by intermolecular base stacking.


Introduction. It has been reported that the nucleic acid with high-anti orientation possesses an interesting tendency to form a left-handed duplex by CD measurement (Uesugi, Yasumoto, Ikehara, Fang \& Ts'o, 1972) and energy calculations (Fujii \& Tomita, 1976). In the previous paper, we reported the molecular structure of $\mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{pA}^{\mathrm{s}} \quad\left[8,2^{\prime}-S\right.$-cyclo- $2^{\prime}$-thioadenvlyl $\left(3^{\prime}-5^{\prime}\right)-8,2^{\prime}-S$ -cyclo- ${ }^{\prime}$-thioadenosine] which did not have the lefthanded stacking form but had a new type of stable non-helical 'bent' form (Fujii, Hamada, Miura, Uesugi, Ikehara \& Tomita, 1982).

[^1]$\mathrm{I}^{\mathrm{s} p A^{\mathrm{s}}}$ was synthesized by condensation of $8,2^{\prime}$ -$S$-cycloinosine and $8,2^{\prime}-S$-cycloadenosine $\quad 5^{\prime}$ monophosphate (Ikehara, Uesugi \& Shida, 1980). By slow evaporation of an aqueous solution of $\mathrm{I}^{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{pA}^{\mathrm{s}}$ in the refrigerator, prismatic transparent crystals were obtained. The intensity data (using a crystal $0.74 \times$ $0.52 \times 0.33 \mathrm{~mm}$, sealed in a quartz capillary with some mother liquor) were collected on a Rigaku Denki automatic four-circle diffractometer with $\mathrm{Cu} K \alpha$ radiation. The $\omega$ scanning technique was employed at a rate of $8^{\circ} \mathrm{min}^{-1}$.

The structure was solved by the direct method employing the program MULTAN 78 (Main, Hull, Lessinger, Germain, Declercq \& Woolfson, 1978). An $E$ map derived from the set with the highest combined figure of merit showed many peaks corresponding to one P atom, two S atoms and some light atoms. Successive Fourier syntheses revealed the remaining non-hydrogen atoms, including six water molecules. After refinements with anisotropic temperature parameters, a difference Fourier synthesis showed all H -atom positions, except those of the water molecules. Final refinement, by a block-diagonal least-squares method with anisotropic thermal parameters for nonhydrogen atoms and with isotropic thermal parameters for H atoms, reduced $R$ and $R_{w}$ to 0.066 and 0.089 , respectively. The atomic scattering factors in International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974) were used. All the computations were performed on an ACOS-700 computer of the Crystallographic Research


[^0]:    * Lists of structure factors and anisotropic thermal parameters have been deposited with the British Library Lending Division as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 36863 ( 7 pp .). Copies may be obtained through The Executive Secretary, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH 1 2HU, England.

[^1]:    * ( $6 \mathrm{a} S, 7 R, 8 R, 9 \mathrm{a} R$ )-4-Amino-6a,7,8,9a-tetrahydro-7-
    hydroxyfuro $2^{\prime}, 3^{\prime}: 4,5$ ]thiazolo $3,2-e$ ppurin- 3 -ium- 8 -ylmethyl ( $6 \mathrm{a} S$, $7 R, 8 R, 9 \mathrm{a} R$ )-3,4,6a, 7,8,9a-hexahydro-8-hydroxymethyl-4oxofuro $\left[2^{\prime}, 3^{\prime}: 4,5\right]$ thiazolol 3,2 -elpurin- 7 -yl phosphate hexahydrate. (The abbreviation $I$ in $I^{s} p A^{s}$ stands for inosine - $9-\beta$ - $D$ ribofuranosylhypoxanthine.)
    $\dagger$ Structure of a Dinucleoside Monophosphate Having a Highanti Configuration. II.

